

A new connection between the volume product and regularization of heat flow

Hiroshi Tsuji

Osaka University

joint work with Shohei Nakamura (Osaka Univ./Univ. of Birmingham),
arXiv:2212.02866.

Conference on Convex Geometry and Geometric Probability

September 28, 2023

Volume product

- ▶ Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body (i.e., compact and convex set with $\text{int}K \neq \emptyset$) with $0 \in \text{int}K$.
- ▶ Polar body of K :

$$K^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \forall y \in K\}.$$

c.f. $(B_p^n)^\circ = B_{p'}^n$ with $p^{-1} + (p')^{-1} = 1$ where

$$B_p^n := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

- ▶ Volume product of K :

$$v(K) := |K||K^\circ|.$$

v is linear invariant, i.e., $v(TK) = v(K)$ for any linear isomorphism T on \mathbb{R}^n .

Blaschke–Santaló inequality and Mahler conjecture

Theorem 1 (Blaschke 1917, Santaló 1949, Petty 1985)

For any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $b_K := \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K x \, dx = 0$, it holds that

$$v(K) \leq v(B_2^n).$$

Equality holds iff K is a symmetric ellipsoid.

Mahler conjecture

- *Non-symmetric case* : For any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $b_K = 0$,

$$v(K) \geq v(\Delta_0^n),$$

where Δ_0^n is an n -dimensional simplex with $b_{\Delta_0^n} = 0$.

- *Symmetric case* : For any symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., $K = -K$),

$$v(K) \geq v(B_\infty^n) = v(B_1^n).$$

Known results

- ▶ Mahler (1938): symmetric and non-symmetric cases for $n = 2$.
- ▶ Iriyeh–Shibata (2020): symmetric case for $n = 3$. A short proof by Fradelizi–Hubard–Meyer–Roldán–Pensado–Zvavitch (2022).

Partial answers.

- ▶ unconditional convex bodies: Saint-Raymond (1980), Meyer (1986).
- ▶ zonoid: Reisner (1986), Gordon–Meyer–Reisner (1988).
- ▶ symmetric polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n with $2n + 2$ vertices: Lopez and Reisner (1998), Karasev (2021).
- ▶ polytopes with not more than $n + 3$ vertices in \mathbb{R}^n : Meyer–Reisner (2006).
- ▶ some bodies with many symmetries: Barthe–Fradelizi (2013), Iriyeh–Shibata (2022).
- ▶ Asymptotic estimate: Bourgain–Milman (1986), Kuperberg (2008).

New lower bound for specific volume products

Corollary 1 (Nakamura–T.)

Let $n \geq 2$, $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int}K$. Suppose that $\|\cdot\|_K^2$ is C^2 on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies

$$\nabla^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|_K^2\right) \geq \kappa\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \nabla^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|_{K^\circ}^2\right) \geq \kappa\Lambda$$

for some positive definite symmetric matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then it holds that

$$v(K) \geq (\kappa^2 e^{1-\kappa^2})^{\frac{n}{2}} v(B_2^n).$$

- ▶ Our assumptions imply that the **principle curvatures** on $\partial(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}K)$ and $\partial(\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}K)^\circ$ are uniformly bounded from below by κ .
- ▶ Stancu (2009) and Reisner–Schütt–Werner (2012): **The boundary of the local minimizer must be flat**, i.e., if there exists a point in either ∂K or ∂K° at which the (generalized) Gauss curvature exists and is not 0 then $v(K)$ is not a local minimum.
- ▶ Trivial lower bound:
$$v(K) \geq (\kappa^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} v(B_2^n).$$
- ▶ Mahler's conjecture is **true** for K satisfying our assumptions with κ close to 1, i.e.,

$$(\kappa^2 e^{1-\kappa^2})^{\frac{n}{2}} v(B_2^n) \geq v(\Delta_0^n) \quad \text{in non-symmetric case,}$$

$$(\kappa^2 e^{1-\kappa^2})^{\frac{n}{2}} v(B_2^n) \geq v(B_\infty^n) \quad \text{in symmetric case.}$$

How to show Corollary 1?

How to show Corollary 1?

⇒ Let us enter the world of heat flow!

- ▶ For $s > 0$ (time) and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ (initial data), the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup is given by

$$P_s f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(e^{-s}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2s}}y) d\gamma(y),$$

which is a solution of $\partial_s u = \Delta u - \langle x, \nabla u \rangle$ with $u(s, x) = P_s f(x)$.

- ▶ Mass-preservation: $\|P_s f\|_{L^1(\gamma)} = \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma)}$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P_s f \equiv \text{const.}$
- ▶ Contraction: $\|P_s f\|_{L^p(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}$ for $p \geq 1$. In particular,

$$1 \leq q \leq p \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|P_s f\|_{L^p(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}.$$

- ▶ For $s > 0$ (time) and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ (initial data), the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup is given by

$$P_s f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(e^{-s}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2s}}y) d\gamma(y),$$

which is a solution of $\partial_s u = \Delta u - \langle x, \nabla u \rangle$ with $u(s, x) = P_s f(x)$.

- ▶ Mass-preservation: $\|P_s f\|_{L^1(\gamma)} = \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma)}$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P_s f \equiv \text{const.}$
- ▶ Contraction: $\|P_s f\|_{L^p(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}$ for $p \geq 1$. In particular,

$$1 \leq q \leq p \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|P_s f\|_{L^p(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}.$$

Question 1. Can we exceed $1 \leq q \leq p$?

$$1 \leq \textcolor{red}{p} \leq \textcolor{red}{q} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}.$$

Hypercontractivity

- ▶ For $s > 0$ (time) and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ (initial data), the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup is given by

$$P_s f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(e^{-s}x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2s}}y) d\gamma(y),$$

which is a solution of $\partial_s u = \Delta u - \langle x, \nabla u \rangle$ with $u(s, x) = P_s f(x)$.

- ▶ Mass-preservation: $\|P_s f\|_{L^1(\gamma)} = \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma)}$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P_s f \equiv \text{const.}$
- ▶ Contraction: $\|P_s f\|_{L^p(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}$ for $p \geq 1$. In particular,

$$1 \leq q \leq p \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|P_s f\|_{L^p(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}.$$

Question 1. Can we exceed $1 \leq q \leq p$?

$$1 \leq \textcolor{red}{p} \leq \textcolor{red}{q} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}.$$

\rightsquigarrow **Yes!** This is Hypercontractivity.

Theorem 2 (Nelson's forward HC, 1973)

Suppose $s > 0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$1 < p, q \text{ with } \frac{q-1}{p-1} \leq e^{2s} \Rightarrow \|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}.$$

Moreover

$$1 < p, q \text{ with } \frac{q-1}{p-1} > e^{2s} \Rightarrow \sup_{0 \leq f \in L^p(\gamma)} \frac{\|P_s f\|_{L^q(\gamma)}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\gamma)}} = +\infty.$$

► In below, we reformulate forward HC as $\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p}}]\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Forward hypercontractivity \Rightarrow inverse Santaló inequality

Proposition 1 (Nakamura–T.)

Suppose that for small $s > 0$, there exists some $q_s = -2s + o(s) < 0$, $p_s = 2s + o(s) > 0$ and $C_{\text{IS}}(s) > 0$ such that

$$\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)} \leq C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{\frac{1}{p_s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}$$

for all nonnegative log-concave function f . Then

$$v(K) \geq v(B_2^n) \limsup_{s \downarrow 0} (C_{\text{IS}}(s))^{-1}$$

for all convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Sketch of proof. Taking the power of p_s ,

$$\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)}^{p_s} \leq C_{\text{IS}}(s) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right).$$

Insert $f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|_K^2}$ and let $s \downarrow 0$.

Forward hypercontractivity \Rightarrow inverse Santaló inequality

Proposition 1 (Nakamura–T.)

Suppose that for small $s > 0$, there exists some $q_s = -2s + o(s) < 0$, $p_s = 2s + o(s) > 0$ and $C_{\text{IS}}(s) > 0$ such that

$$\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)} \leq C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{\frac{1}{p_s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}$$

for all nonnegative log-concave function f . Then

$$v(K) \geq v(B_2^n) \limsup_{s \downarrow 0} (C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{-1})$$

for all convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

► In our settings, $-\infty < q_s < 0 < p_s < 1$. \rightsquigarrow Nobody knows forward HC...

Forward hypercontractivity \Rightarrow inverse Santaló inequality

Proposition 1 (Nakamura–T.)

Suppose that for small $s > 0$, there exists some $q_s = -2s + o(s) < 0$, $p_s = 2s + o(s) > 0$ and $C_{\text{IS}}(s) > 0$ such that

$$\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)} \leq C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{\frac{1}{p_s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}$$

for all nonnegative log-concave function f . Then

$$v(K) \geq v(B_2^n) \limsup_{s \downarrow 0} (C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{-1})$$

for all convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

► In our settings, $-\infty < q_s < 0 < p_s < 1$. \rightsquigarrow Nobody knows forward HC...

Question 2. Does forward HC hold for $-\infty < q < p < 1$?

$$-\infty < q < p < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p}}]\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Forward hypercontractivity \Rightarrow inverse Santaló inequality

Proposition 1 (Nakamura–T.)

Suppose that for small $s > 0$, there exists some $q_s = -2s + o(s) < 0$, $p_s = 2s + o(s) > 0$ and $C_{\text{IS}}(s) > 0$ such that

$$\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)} \leq C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{\frac{1}{p_s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}$$

for all nonnegative log-concave function f . Then

$$v(K) \geq v(B_2^n) \limsup_{s \downarrow 0} (C_{\text{IS}}(s)^{-1})$$

for all convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

► In our settings, $-\infty < q_s < 0 < p_s < 1$. \rightsquigarrow Nobody knows forward HC...

Question 2. Does forward HC hold for $-\infty < q < p < 1$?

$$-\infty < q < p < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p}}]\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

\rightsquigarrow **Yes!** if f has certain strong log-concavity and convexity.

Answer to Question 2: Forward HC for $p, q < 1$

Theorem 3 (Nakamura–T.)

Let $s > 0$, $0 < p < 1$, $q \in (-\infty, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $\frac{q-1}{p-1} = e^{2s}$, and $\beta \geq 1$. Then for any $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \nabla^2 \log f \leq (1 - \frac{1}{\beta}) \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

it holds that

$$\|P_t[f^{\frac{1}{p}}]\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \|P_t[(\frac{\gamma_\beta}{\gamma})^{\frac{1}{p}}]\|_{L^q(\gamma)} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Here

$$\gamma_\beta(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|^2}.$$

- The proof is accomplished by the flow monotonicity of the Fokker–Planck flow combined with the Poincaré inequality.

New lower bound for specific volume products again

Corollary 1 (Nakamura–T.)

Let $n \geq 2$, $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with $0 \in \text{int}K$. Suppose that $\|\cdot\|_K^2$ is C^2 on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies

$$\nabla^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|_K^2\right) \geq \kappa\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \nabla^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|_{K^\circ}^2\right) \geq \kappa\Lambda$$

for some positive definite symmetric matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then it holds that

$$v(K) \geq (\kappa^2 e^{1-\kappa^2})^{\frac{n}{2}} v(B_2^n).$$

- To obtain this corollary, we apply the same argument in Proposition 1 to Theorem 3.

Open question toward Mahler conjecture

Conjecture

Let $s > 0$, $p_s = 1 - e^{-2s}$ and $q_s = 1 - e^{2s}$.

Non-symmetric case:

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq f \in L^1(\gamma) \\ \text{log-concave}}} \frac{\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)}}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}} = \frac{\|P_s[f_*^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)}}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_* d\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}}$$

where $f_*(x) := \mathbf{1}_{[-1, \infty)^n} e^{-(x_1 + \dots + x_n)} / \gamma(x)$.

Symmetric case:

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq f \in L^1(\gamma) \\ \text{sym. log-concave}}} \frac{\|P_s[f^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)}}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}} = \frac{\|P_s[f_{**}^{\frac{1}{p_s}}]\|_{L^{q_s}(\gamma)}}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{**} d\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p_s}}}$$

where $f_{**}(x) := e^{-(|x_1| + \dots + |x_n|)} / \gamma(x)$.

- $f_*\gamma, f_{**}\gamma$ are conjectured as minimizers of functional version of Mahler conjecture formulated by Fradelizi–Meyer (2008).

Thank you for your attention!